

TD 9 – The RSA ecosystem

Exercise 1.*Attacks on textbook RSA*

Using the RSA trapdoor function directly as an encryption scheme or a signature scheme is insecure. We present a few more attacks in this exercise. We remind that the RSA trapdoor function uses a public key (N, e) and a private key (N, d) where $N = p \times q$ for two distinct primes p and q , and $ed \bmod \varphi(N) = 1$ where $\varphi(N) = (p-1)(q-1)$. The trapdoor function is $m \mapsto m^e \bmod N$ where $m \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$. The inverse function, knowing the trapdoor d , is $c \mapsto c^d \bmod N$.

1. We consider the original RSA encryption scheme.
 - i. We first design a chosen ciphertext attack. Describe an adversary that, given the public key (N, e) and a ciphertext c , is able to compute m such that $m^e \bmod N = c$. *Hint: What does “chosen ciphertext attack” mean?*
 - ii. We now show that using two keys with the same modulus N is insecure. Let us assume that Alice has the pair of keys $((N, e_1), (N, d_1))$ and Bob the pair $((N, e_2), (N, d_2))$. We further assume that $\text{GCD}(e_1, e_2) = 1$. An adversary intercepts two ciphertexts c_1 and c_2 , both encryptions of a same message m but under Alice’s and Bob’s keys respectively. Prove that the adversary can compute m . *Specify the algorithm used by the adversary.*
2. We now consider the original RSA signature scheme.
 - i. Recall the attack in which an adversary is given two valid pairs (m_1, σ_1) and (m_2, σ_2) and forges a new valid pair (m, σ) with $m \notin \{m_1, m_2\}$.
 - ii. Propose as a variant of the attack a universal forgery using one chosen-message query. *That is, the adversary chooses to sign a message m , and to this end is allowed to query the signature of one message $m' \neq m$.*

Exercise 2.*Padded RSA signature*

Let (N, e) and (N, d) be public and private RSA keys, where N is n -bit long. We consider a padded RSA signature scheme, for messages of length $\ell < n$. To sign $m \in \{0, 1\}^\ell$, we take a uniform $r \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^{n-\ell}$ such that $r \| m \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ and compute $\sigma = (r \| m)^d \bmod N$.

1. Why could $r \| m \notin \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ happen? What is the probability that this happens? How to deal with this?
2. Describe the verification algorithm for this protocol.
3. Show that this signature scheme is not secure.

Hint: One of the attacks against the original RSA signature scheme still applies.

Exercise 3.*Attacks on RSA-FDH*

In RSA-FDH, the signature of a message $m \in \{0, 1\}^*$ with a private key (N, d) is $H(m)^d \bmod N$ for some hash function H . The verification of a signature σ with the public key (N, e) checks whether $H(m) = \sigma^e \bmod N$. This scheme is proven secure if H is a random oracle. We sketch attacks when H is not resistant enough.

1. Assume that H is not first preimage resistant. Adapt the attack of the original RSA signature scheme to this case.
2. Assume that H is not second preimage resistant. Prove that an adversary with a signature oracle can perform a universal forgery.
3. Assume that H is not collision resistant. Prove that an adversary with a signature oracle can perform an existential forgery.