

TD 1 – Introduction

Exercise 1.*Perfect indistinguishability and information leak*

Let (Enc, Dec) be a perfectly indistinguishable encryption scheme. In all three cases below, prove that no adversary $\mathcal{A}$ can win the game with probability more than $\frac{1}{2}$. *Hint. Prove that if its probability of success is $p > \frac{1}{2}$, its probability of success in the indistinguishability game is also p .*

1. Given $c \leftarrow \text{Enc}_k(m)$, $\mathcal{A}$ must compute the least significant bit $m_{[0]}$ of m .
2. Given $c \leftarrow \text{Enc}_k(m)$, $\mathcal{A}$ must compute the parity $\bigoplus_i m_{[i]}$ of m .
3. Given $c \leftarrow \text{Enc}_k(m)$, $\mathcal{A}$ must tell whether m has more zeroes than ones.

Exercise 2.*Play with IND – CPA definition*

Let $\mathcal{E} = (\text{Enc}, \text{Dec})$ be an IND – CPA secure encryption scheme. For each of the following encryption algorithms, determine whether it is IND – CPA secure: Either provide an adversary with a strong advantage, or prove that the advantage function is similar to the advantage function of $\mathcal{E}$.

1. $\text{Enc}_k^1(m) = 0 \parallel \text{Enc}_k(m)$ where $\parallel$ denotes the concatenation.
2. $\text{Enc}_k^2(m) = \text{Enc}_k(m) \parallel m^\oplus$ where $m^\oplus = \bigoplus_i m_{[i]}$.
3. $\text{Enc}_k^3(m) = \text{Enc}_k(m)^{\leftarrow}$ where $c^{\leftarrow}$ is the reverse of c , defined as $c_{[i]}^{\leftarrow} = c_{[\#c-i-1]}$ for $0 \leq i < \#c$.
4. $\text{Enc}_k^4(m) = \text{Enc}_k(m^{\leftarrow})$.

Exercise 3.*Other encryption schemes*

1. Let $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{C} = \Sigma^\ell$, that is messages and ciphertexts are length- ℓ words over an alphabet Σ . The key space $\mathcal{K}$ is the set of all permutations on Σ : a key $k \in \mathcal{K}$ is a one-to-one mapping $k : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$. We define $\text{Enc}_k(m) = k(m_{[0]}) \parallel k(m_{[1]}) \parallel \dots \parallel k(m_{[\ell-1]})$ where $\parallel$ is the concatenation.
 - i. Describe Dec_k such that the encryption scheme is correct.
 - ii. Prove that this encryption scheme is not perfectly indistinguishable.
2. Let $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{K} = \mathcal{C} = \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ and let $\text{Enc}_k(m) = m + k \bmod n$.
 - i. Describe Dec_k such that the encryption scheme is correct.
 - ii. Let $m \in \mathcal{M}$, $c \in \mathcal{C}$ and $k \leftarrow \mathcal{K}$. Prove that $\Pr[\text{Enc}_k(m) = c] = \frac{1}{n}$.
 - iii. Prove that this encryption scheme is perfectly indistinguishable.
3. (*at home*) Consider a variant of the first scheme where each key k is made of ℓ independent random permutations $k_{[0]}, \dots, k_{[\ell-1]}$ of Σ . The encryption is $\text{Enc}_k(m) = k_{[0]}(m_{[0]}) \parallel \dots \parallel k_{[\ell-1]}(m_{[\ell-1]})$.
 - i. Describe Dec_k such that the encryption scheme is correct.
 - ii. Prove that this encryption scheme is perfectly indistinguishable.

Exercise 4.*One-time pad for variable length messages*

Let us consider the space $\mathcal{M} = \{0, 1\}^{\leq \ell}$ of binary string of length $\leq \ell$.

1. We consider the following encryption scheme: the key is uniformly sampled from $\mathcal{K} = \{0, 1\}^\ell$ and we define $\text{Enc}_k(m) = k_{[0, |m|]} \oplus m$ where $k_{[0, t]}$ is made of the first t bits of k .
 - i. Write the decryption algorithm.
 - ii. Prove that this scheme is not perfectly indistinguishable. *Give an intuitive explanation as well as an adversary with nonzero advantage in the indistinguishability game.*
2. Propose a perfectly indistinguishable encryption scheme for $\mathcal{M}$. *Provide the encryption and decryption algorithms, and prove that it is perfectly indistinguishable (using the result on the one-time-pad).*