

1. Towards safe embedded implementations	.2
2. From data-flow to sequential code	13
3. Real-time implementation	44

1. Towards safe embedded implementations	
Embedded systems at work Functional correctness	3
Immary Fmbedded systems at work	
Embedded systems	
 or <i>reactive / real-time / control engineering /</i> systems Almost synonyms: each term insists on a one characteristic systems we are considering are all that The big picture: 	
Environment (Physics, operators,) (other systems) Output Peripherals	
(Sensors, control board) (Actuators, motors, display)	
owards safe embedded implementations/Embedded systems at work	3/5



Focus on functionality

Determinism:

- output O_t is determined by previous inputs,
- i.e. it exists (conceptually) some a (mathematical) function Φ :

$$O_t = \Phi(I_0, \cdots, I_{t-1}, I_t)$$

Necessary memory MUST be bounded

- otherwise existence of (finite) WCRET cannot be guaranteed
- \blacktriangleright it exist a (finite) set of variables, M, with a given initial value M_0 ,
- \blacktriangleright it exists a function F and a function G s.t.

 $O_t = F(M_t, I_t)$ (output function)

 $M_{t+1} = G(M_t, I_t)$ (transition, or state function)

Towards safe embedded implementations/Functional correctness ____

Implementation principle

- concretely/in practice:
- F and G (the semantics) are implemented/computed jointly by a *transition* procedure (often called step procedure).

- reactive behavior is implemented by calling the step procedure within a infinite loop.
- What about (infinite) main loop ?

8/58


```
Typical implementation: time-driven (i.e. periodic)
init();
while(1){
   wait_period();
   sample_inputs();
   compute_step();
   emit_outputs();
}
reaction triggered by a periodic clock
```

- this is the choice for (almost) all critical embedded systems
- in this course: focus on this choice
- just a principle: may differ depending on machine/OS

Goal of in this course

- Sequential code generation
 - What synchronous languages compilers do (and do not do)
- Implementation of the main loop
 - with or without OS support
 - single task or multi-task

Towards safe embedded implementations/Functional correctness ____

_____12/58

2. From data-flow to sequential code

The (only) goal of synchronous compiler	. 14
Compilation of synchronous programs	. 15
Modular compilation problem	. 17
Compilation of Lustre	. 21
Compilation into automaton	. 29
C-code interface	. 38

Compilation of synchronous programs _____

General problem

Transform a (hierarchic) parallel program into a (simple) sequential program.

A Lustre node \rightarrow a step procedure.

- Forbids modular compilation.
- Scade: feedback loops (without pre) are forbidden.
 - ► Reject correct parallel programs.
 - Allow modular compilation.
 - ▶ Reasonable choice in a industrial framework.
- Compilation into ordered blocks aka Modular Static Scheduling
 - Intermediate solution
 - Split the step into a minimal set of (sequential) blocks,
 - Only expand this simplified structure.

Simple loop compilation
Intuitively, do what is necessary to make definitions equivalent to assignments, i.e.:
translate classical operators (trivial),
replace pre's and ->'s with memory constructs,
sequentialize according to data-dependencies (i.e. static scheduling).
From data-flow to sequential code/Compilation of Lustre22/58
Identify the memory
Introduce a explicit variable for each pre:
<pre>pcpt = pre cpt;</pre>
<pre>preset = pre reset;</pre>
pX = pre X;
Introduce a special memory
<pre>init = true -> false;</pre>
and replace each:
x -> y
with
if init then x else y

New version of the Lustre program

```
cpt = if R then 0
    else if F then pcpt + 1
    else pcpt;
R = if init then true else preset;
F = if init then X else (X and not pX);
pcpt = pre cpt;
preset = pre reset;
pX = pre X;
init = true -> false;
```

From data-flow to sequential code/Compilation of Lustre _____

Sequentialization

Must take into account:

- Instantaneous dependences between values,
 - an (partial) order MUST exist (no combinational loop),
 example: R before cpt and F before cpt
 - chose a compatible complete order (schedule), example R, then F then cpt.

Memorisations

Must be done at the end of the step, in any order.

24/58

Simple loop implementation (C-like code)	
Arithmetic and logic are translated "asit"	
(ex. and becomes &&, ifthenelse becomes?:)	
pre's are replaced with memories	
->'s are replaced with init?:	
Inputs/outputs are stores in global variables (for instance)	
From data-flow to sequential code/Compilation of Lustre	26/58
Simple loop implementation (C-like code)	
<pre>int cpt; bool X, reset; /* I/O global vars */</pre>	
<pre>int pcpt; bool pX, preset; /* non initialized memories */</pre>	
bool init = true; /* the only necessary initialization */	
<pre>void CptFiltre_step() {</pre>	
bool R, F; /* local vars */	
R = init ? true : preset;	
F = init ? X : (X & & ! pX);	
cpt = K : U : F : pcpt + I : pcpt;	
init = false:	
11110 - 10100	

}

```
Optimizations
  Control structure: ? becomes if
  Factorize conditions
  Eliminate useless local vars
     if (init) {
         cpt = 0;
         init = false;
      } else {
         F = (X \& ! pX);
         cpt = preset ? 0 : F ? (pcpt+1) : pcpt;
      }
     pcpt = cpt; pX = X; preset = reset;
                                                                        28/58
From data-flow to sequential code/Compilation of Lustre ____
  Compilation into automaton _____
```

Idea

The following reactive automaton:

is exactly equivalent to a Lustre program:

```
node Chrono(on, off: bool) returns (N : int);
var R : bool;
let
    R = false -> pre(if R then not off else on);
    N = if R then (pre N + 1) else 0;
tel
```

Problem: how to build the automaton from the Lustre code ?

Goal	
Automatically build an automaton equivalent to a Lustre program	
How ?	
■ Idea: an (explicit) state ⇔ a valuation of the memory	
N.B. finite number of states \Rightarrow finite memory (e.g Boolean)	
Example of CptF	
S1 = initial state = "init true, all other undefined"	
simplifed code : cpt = 0	
integer memorization: still the same	
Boolean memorization: state transition	
From data-flow to sequential code/Compilation into automaton	30/58
From data-flow to sequential code/Compilation into automaton	30/58
From data-flow to sequential code/Compilation into automaton	30/58
From data-flow to sequential code/Compilation into automaton Transitions State S1 (initial):	30/58
From data-flow to sequential code/Compilation into automaton Transitions State S1 (initial): init = false; pX = X; preset = reset;	30/58
From data-flow to sequential code/Compilation into automaton	30/58
<pre>From data-flow to sequential code/Compilation into automaton</pre>	30/58
From data-flow to sequential code/Compilation into automaton	30/58
From data-flow to sequential code/Compilation into automaton Transitions State S1 (initial): init = false; $pX = X$; preset = reset; Depending on the values of x and reset, 4 next states: $X \land reset \rightarrow S2 \equiv init \land pX \land preset$ $X \land reset \rightarrow S3 \equiv init \land pX \land preset$ $X \land reset \rightarrow S4 \equiv init \land pX \land preset$	30/58
From data-flow to sequential code/Compilation into automaton Transitions State S1 (initial): init = false; $pX = X$; preset = reset; Depending on the values of x and reset, 4 next states: $x \land reset \rightarrow S2 \equiv init \land pX \land preset$ $x \land reset \rightarrow S3 \equiv init \land pX \land preset$ $x \land reset \rightarrow S3 \equiv init \land pX \land preset$ $x \land reset \rightarrow S4 \equiv init \land pX \land preset$ $x \land reset \rightarrow S4 \equiv init \land pX \land preset$ $x \land reset \rightarrow S5 \equiv init \land pX \land preset$	30/58
From data-flow to sequential code/Compilation into automaton Transitions State S1 (initial): init = false; $pX = X$; preset = reset; Depending on the values of x and reset, 4 next states: $X \land reset \rightarrow S2 \equiv init \land pX \land preset$ $X \land reset \rightarrow S3 \equiv init \land pX \land preset$ $X \land reset \rightarrow S4 \equiv init \land pX \land preset$ $\overline{X} \land reset \rightarrow S4 \equiv init \land pX \land preset$ $\overline{X} \land reset \rightarrow S5 \equiv init \land pX \land preset$	30/58
From data-flow to sequential code/Compilation into automaton Transitions State S1 (initial): init = false; $pX = X$; preset = reset; Depending on the values of x and reset, 4 next states: $X \land reset \rightarrow S2 \equiv init \land pX \land preset$ $X \land reset \rightarrow S3 \equiv init \land pX \land preset$ $X \land reset \rightarrow S4 \equiv init \land pX \land preset$ $\overline{X} \land reset \rightarrow S4 \equiv init \land p\overline{X} \land preset$ $\overline{X} \land reset \rightarrow S5 \equiv init \land p\overline{X} \land preset$	30/58
From data-flow to sequential code/Compilation into automaton Transitions State S1 (initial): init = false; $pX = X$; preset = reset; Depending on the values of x and reset, 4 next states: $X \land reset \rightarrow S2 \equiv init \land pX \land preset$ $X \land reset \rightarrow S3 \equiv init \land pX \land preset$ $X \land reset \rightarrow S4 \equiv init \land pX \land preset$ $\overline{X} \land reset \rightarrow S4 \equiv init \land p\overline{X} \land preset$ $\overline{X} \land reset \rightarrow S5 \equiv init \land p\overline{X} \land preset$	30/58
From data-flow to sequential code/Compilation into automaton Transitions State S1 (initial): init = false; $pX = X$; preset = reset; Depending on the values of x and reset, 4 next states: $X \land reset \rightarrow S2 \equiv init \land px \land preset$ $X \land reset \rightarrow S3 \equiv init \land px \land preset$ $X \land reset \rightarrow S4 \equiv init \land px \land preset$ $X \land reset \rightarrow S4 \equiv init \land px \land preset$ $X \land reset \rightarrow S5 \equiv init \land px \land preset$	30/58
From data-flow to sequential code/Compilation into automaton Transitions State S1 (initial): init = false; $pX = X$; preset = reset; Depending on the values of x and reset, 4 next states: $X \land reset \rightarrow S2 \equiv init \land pX \land preset$ $X \land reset \rightarrow S3 \equiv init \land pX \land preset$ $X \land reset \rightarrow S4 \equiv init \land pX \land preset$ $X \land reset \rightarrow S4 \equiv init \land pX \land preset$ $X \land reset \rightarrow S5 \equiv init \land pX \land preset$	30/58

From data-flow to sequential code/Compilation into automaton .


```
Implementation en C
  With a switch (for instance):
     typedef enum {M1, M2, M3} TState;
     TState state = M1;
     void CptFiltre_step() {
         switch (state) {
            case M1: cpt = 0; break;
            case M2: cpt = pcpt; break;
            case M3: cpt = X? (pcpt + 1):pcpt; break;
         }
        pcpt = cpt;
         if (reset) state = M1;
         else if (X) state = M2;
         else state = M3;
     }
From data-flow to sequential code/Compilation into automaton _____
```

Simple loop or automaton ?

- Automaton
 - Optimal in computation time
 - Possibly huge size
- Simple loop
 - Slightly slower
 - ► Linear size
 - ⇒ Only *reasonable solution* in industry

Interest of Automata

- ▶ Not satisfactory for code generation, but ...
- Precious for reasoning about programs, i.e. for validation/verification

36/58

C-code interface _____

- The compiler must provide a standard API for the sequential code, with precise convention for:
 - ▶ the name of the generated procedures
 - the way internal memory is allocated and accessed
 - ▶ the way input/output parameters are given/retrieved
- Plenty of solutions and variants, depend on the compiler and its options

```
From data-flow to sequential code/C-code interface _____
```

```
38/58
```

502		-
no	de FOO(Ga: bool; Bu: int) returns (Zo: int; Meu: real);	kcg
gen	erates foo.c and the corresponding header file foo.h:	_
	<pre>#include "kcg_types.h"</pre>	
	//==== context type ====================================	
	typedef struct {	
	// outputs	
	kcg_int Zo;	
	kcg_real Meu;	
	// locals	
	•••	
	} outC_FOO;	
	<pre>//=== node initialization and cycle</pre>	
	<pre>extern void FOO(kcg_bool Ga, kcg_int Bu, outC_FOO *outC);</pre>	
	<pre>extern void reset_FOO(outC_FOO *outC);</pre>	

Example: Scade-kcg conventions (cntd)

- Outputs and local memory are stored in a single structured type (the context) Allocation of the structure is up to the user (in glogal memory, head, stack)
- to initialize the context, a *reset* procedure is provided, that takes as input a pointer to the context,
- the step procedure:
 - takes the list of input parameters (by value),
 - ▶ a pointer on the context,
 - and returns nothing
- after a step call, the user can retrieve the outputs values stored in the context
- N.b. the compiler does not fix the implementation of basic types:
 - user has to define them in $\texttt{kcg_types.h}$
- Very similar solution adopted for other Lustre-like compilers (Lustre V6, octogon, velus)

From data-flow to sequential code/C-code interface ____

40/58

Example: Lustre/lus2c conventions

```
#include "FOO_ext.h"
//-- Context type (abstract)
struct FOO ctx;
//-- Context allocation
extern struct FOO_ctx* FOO_new_ctx(void* client_data);
//-- Input procedures:
// provided, must be called before each 'step'
extern void FOO_I_Ga(struct FOO_ctx* ctx, _boolean);
extern void FOO_I_Bu(struct FOO_ctx* ctx, _integer);
//-- Output procedures:
// not provided, must be defined by the user
//void FOO_O_Zo(void* cdata, _integer);
//void FOO_0_Meu(void* cdata, _real);
//-- Reset procedure
extern void FOO_reset(struct FOO_ctx* ctx);
//-- Step procedure
extern void FOO_step(struct FOO_ctx* ctx);
```

Example: Lustre/lus2c conventions (cntd)	
Clearly inspired by OO (Object Oriented) domain	
The code is an (incomplete) class:	
* new, step and reset "methods"	
* inputs methods	
 * "virtual/undefined" output methods 	
The user must complete/derive its own class:	
 * add (if needed) its own data/variables (client-data mechanism) 	
 * define the output method 	
Very general and versatile	
Simplified conventions	
Works when a single node instance is needed	
No need for "new" and the client-data mechanism (heap-free)	
A single context is statically allocated (and hidden to the user)	
Sufficient for this course	
Concretly -ctx-static option	
From data-flow to sequential code/C-code interface4	2/58

Example: lus2c with static context conventions

```
#include "FOO_ext.h"
//-- Input procedures:
// provided, must be called before each 'step'
extern void FOO_I_Ga(_boolean);
extern void FOO_I_Bu(_integer);
//-- Output procedures:
// not provided, must be defined by the user
//void FOO_O_Zo(_integer);
//void FOO_O_Meu(_real);
//-- Reset procedure
extern void FOO_reset();
//-- Step procedure
extern void FOO_step();
```

3. Real-time implementation Summary _ 44 Implementation platform _____ How to run a (periodic) RT application ? strongly depends on platform, not universal... ...however, embedded systems platform provides similar features The right questions when discovering a platform How to access the peripherals (read inputs, write outputs) ? How to achieve periodicity (i.e. real-time support) ? How to compile/upload/run my application ?

Example platform: Arduino+BatCar _ Arduino formally: a micro-controller tiny, simple, (cheap!), designed for teaching purpose representative, not so different from more industrial boards (e.g. Freescale NXP) processor is a 16bits Atmel/AVR provides generic input/output ports each port must be programmed depending on the actual peripheral programming language is C++ Arduino firmware consists of a generic reactive program: basically a sequence of initializations, followed by an infinite loop with 2 'hooks' (functions that must be provided by the user): * setup() where to put user initializations * loop() the core of the infinite loop 46/58 Real-time implementation/Example platform: Arduino+BatCar _____

BatCar

- Arduino + a set of peripherals
- Inputs:
 - ▶ a button (called k1, Boolean)
 - > 2 light sensors (left and right, Boolean)
- Outputs:
 - 2 motors (left and right, integer)
 - a buzzer (Boolean)
 - ▶ 3 leds (red, yellow, green, Boolean)
- Interface between peripherals and Arduino ports is a little bit technical we use an (existing) API with straightforward features, e.g.:

```
BatCar.init_button();
```

```
BatCar.set_motor_left(int);
```

etc.

```
The Lustre part
  Suppose we have developped a BatCar controller in Lustre, whose profile is:
      node control(
        k1: bool; sensor_left, sensor_right: bool
      ) returns (
        motor_left, motor_right: int;
        red_light, yellow_light, green_light: bool;
        buzzer: bool
      );
  Lustre compiler generates a code defining:
      void control_reset();
      void control_step();
      void control_I_k1(bool);
      void control_I_sensor_left(bool);
      void control_I_sensor_left(bool);
  and expecting the definition of output functions, e.g.
      void control_0_motor_left(int);
      void control_0_red_light(bool);
    etc.
                                                                            48/58
Real-time implementation/Example platform: Arduino+BatCar ____
```

Programming the reactive glue

```
Output functions calls the BatCar API, e.g.
   void control_0_motor_left(int v) {
      BatCar.set_right_speed(v);
    }
   void control_0_buzzer(bool v) {
      BatCar.set_buzzer(v);
    }
 etc.
Arduino's user setup must contain BatCar and Lustre init
   void setup() {
      BatCar.init_button();
      BatCar.init_line_sensors();
      BatCar.init_motors();
      BatCar.init_buzzer();
      control_reset();
    }
```


Using a Real-Time OS _____

What for ?

- main characteristic: multi-tasking, preemptive scheduling
- with a precise notion of system clock (periodic)
- not (really) necessary for single task appli...
- ... however let see how it works

RTOS features

- Several RTOS, each with their own API
- Same principles (task creation, wait/sleep on real-time clock, start scheduling)
- Example: FreeRTOS

Real-time implementation/Using a Real-Time OS _____

_ 52/58

FreeRTOS API

- Reference https://www.freertos.org/ + Kernel/API Reference
- Create a task (see xTaskCreate):
 - to be done at initialization
 - ▶ args are: code to execute (procedure), priority, user data etc.
- Start the scheduller (see vTaskStartScheduler)
 - to be called when all tasks are created
 - no argument, never returns
- Real-time support (see vTaskDelayUntil)
 - to be called within the task code
 - forces the task to 'sleep' for a precisely timed delay
 - N.b. time is counted in system ticks
 - default: 1 system tick = 15 ms
- We'll try it in the practical work

Multi-tasking	
Multi-tasking, safety and real-time	
 Basically: (dynamic) multi-tasking is bad for safety and real-time hard to guarantee real-time (blocking, starving) hard to guarantee safety (non-determinism, priority inversion) But it may be interesting (even necessary) in (at least) one case: 	
 a (slow) task must compute less often than others 	
Real-time implementation/Multi-tasking	54/58
Non-preemptive multi-tasking	

- Example: U must compute each 10ms, F each 40ms
- This can be done in synchronous languages (Scade/Lustre):
 - ▶ U computes all the time, F computes 1 of 4 time
 - can be programmed with basic language, or using 'clocks' (out of scope)

ke

Real-time implementation/Multi-tasking .

