

Software security, secure programming

Lecture 4: an overview of Software Security Analysis Techniques

Master on Cybersecurity – Master MoSiG

Academic Year 2017 - 2018

Software Security

The ability of a SW to *function correctly* under *malicious attacks*

Software Security

The ability of a SW to *function correctly* under *malicious attacks*

“function correctly” ?

- ▶ no crash (!), no disclosure/erasure of confidential data
- ▶ no bypass of security policy rules
- ▶ no deviation from intended behavior (arbitrary code execution)

→ what the SW should **not** do ...

Software Security

The ability of a SW to *function correctly* under *malicious attacks*

“function correctly” ?

- ▶ no crash (!), no disclosure/erasure of confidential data
- ▶ no bypass of security policy rules
- ▶ no deviation from intended behavior (arbitrary code execution)

→ what the SW should **not** do ...

“malicious attacks” ?

Well-crafted attack vectors, based on knowledge about:

- ▶ execution platform: libraries, OS/HW protections
- ▶ target software: code, patches
- ▶ up-to-date vulnerabilities and exploit techniques

→ much beyond unexpected input/execution conditions

Software Security

The ability of a SW to *function correctly* under *malicious attacks*

“function correctly” ?

- ▶ no crash (!), no disclosure/erasure of confidential data
- ▶ no bypass of security policy rules
- ▶ no deviation from intended behavior (arbitrary code execution)

→ what the SW should **not** do ...

“malicious attacks” ?

Well-crafted attack vectors, based on knowledge about:

- ▶ execution platform: libraries, OS/HW protections
- ▶ target software: code, patches
- ▶ up-to-date vulnerabilities and exploit techniques

→ much beyond unexpected input/execution conditions

secure software \neq robust/safe/fault-tolerant software

Root causes of insecure softwares

“A software flaw that may become a security threat . . .”

≠ kinds of bugs w.r.t security:

- ▶ harmless: only leads to incorrect results or “simple” crash
- ▶ **exploitable**: can lead to unsecure behaviors . . .

Root causes of insecure softwares

“A software flaw that may become a security threat . . .”

≠ kinds of bugs w.r.t security:

- ▶ harmless: only leads to incorrect results or “simple” crash
- ▶ **exploitable**: can lead to unsecure behaviors . . .

Examples of exploitable vulnerabilities

(combinations of:)

- ▶ invalid memory accesses: buffer overflow, dangling pointers
- ▶ arithmetic overflows
- ▶ race conditions
- ▶ unsecure coding patterns (lack of input sanitization, etc.)
- ▶ etc.

Rk: influence of programming language, compilation tool,
execution environment (platform, OS, users . . .)

Vulnerability detection and analysis

A major security concern ...

- ▶ 5000 vulns in 2011, 5200 in 2012, 6700 in 2013 ... [Symantec]
- ▶ applications and OS editors, security agencies, defense departments, IT companies, ...

Vulnerability detection and analysis

A major security concern ...

- ▶ 5000 vulns in 2011, 5200 in 2012, 6700 in 2013 ... [Symantec]
- ▶ applications and OS editors, security agencies, defense departments, IT companies, ...

... and a business !

Some 0-day selling prices: see Zerodium web site ...

Two distinct problems

1. detection: identify (security related) bugs
2. analysis: evaluate their dangerousness
Are they exploitable? How difficult is it? Which consequences?

The current “industrial” practice

A 2-phase approach

1. (pseudo-random) fuzzing, fuzzing, and fuzzing ...
↪ to produce a huge number of **program crashes**
2. in-depth *manual* crash analysis
↪ to identify **exploitable** bugs and obtain **PoC exploits**
(ignoring protections)

The current “industrial” practice

A 2-phase approach

1. (pseudo-random) fuzzing, fuzzing, and fuzzing ...
↪ to produce a huge number of **program crashes**
2. in-depth **manual** crash analysis
↪ to identify **exploitable** bugs and obtain **PoC exploits**
(ignoring protections)

Drawbacks

- ▶ A time consuming activity
(very small ratio “exploitable flaws/simple bugs” !)
100,000 open bugs for Linux Ubuntu ; 8000 for Firefox
- ▶ Would require a better **tool assistance** ...
(e.g., “smart” disassembler, trace analysis, debuggers ?)

example: crash of `/bin/make` on Linux ...

The “academic” research trends

Re-use and adapt **validation oriented** code analysis techniques

- ▶ static analysis, bounded model-checking
- ▶ test generation:
symbolic/concolic execution, genetic algos, etc.
- ▶ dynamic (trace based) analysis

The “academic” research trends

Re-use and adapt **validation oriented** code analysis techniques

- ▶ static analysis, bounded model-checking
- ▶ test generation:
symbolic/concolic execution, genetic algos, etc.
- ▶ dynamic (trace based) analysis

security analysis \neq safety analysis !

- ▶ should be carried on the executable code
- ▶ exploit analysis \Rightarrow **beyond** source-level semantics
(understand what can happen **after** an undefined behavior)

Main issue: **scalability** ! ...

DARPA CGC: software security tool competition (1st prize: \$2,000,000)

Outline

Checking Software Security ?

Outline of the next part of the course on this topic

Oral presentations

Some security-oriented code analysis techniques

- ▶ **Fuzzing**

how to make a program crash ?

- ▶ **Dynamic Analysis**

collect (more) useful information at runtime

- ▶ **(Dynamic) Symbolic Execution (DSE)**

explore a (comprehensive) subset of the execution sequences

- ▶ **Static Analysis and Abstract Interpretation**

analyse an approximation of the code behaviour without executing it

And, in addition, an overview of:

- ▶ stronger fault models (e.g., **fault injection**)
- ▶ some specific language/platform issues (e.g., Java, Android, . . .)

Course organization

- ▶ lectures
- ▶ paper exercises
- ▶ **lab sessions** (on tools)
static analysis, DSE, fuzzing, ...
- ▶ **oral presentations**

Outline

Checking Software Security ?

Outline of the next part of the course on this topic

Oral presentations

Suggested topics (a non limitative list !)

- ▶ Programming languages and/or execution platforms
 - ↪ focus on specific features, explain the strenght/weaknesses, and the associated protections ...
 - ▶ Java JVM / Android / ...
 - ▶ Golang, ...
 - ▶ JavaScript / PHP ...
 - ▶ ...
- ▶ Protections
 - ▶ Control-Flow Integrity (CFI)
 - ▶ Windows 10 protections
- ▶ Malwares
 - principles, detection and identification techniques
- ▶ Code (de)-obfuscation techniques
- ▶ Vulnerability exploitation techniques
 - Return-Oriented-Programming (ROP), defeating ASLR, etc.
- ▶ Side-channel attacks
- ▶ ...

Organisation

One oral presentation per “binôme” (team of 2 students)

schedule:

- ▶ before Dec. the 7th
choose your subject (and binôme)
→ sent it to me by e-mail !
- ▶ [11th of January]
oral presentations
 - ▶ 15 mn. presentation per binômes (with slides)
 - ▶ **a written report** (3-5 pages)