## Software Security & Secure Programming Written Assignment - Tuesday November the 14th, 2017

Duration: 60 minutes - Authorized documents: one A4 sheet of paper

Exercise 1. ( $\sim 10 \text{ pts}$ ) We consider the following C code :

```
1 #include <stdio.h>
2 #include <string.h>
   #include <stdlib.h>
3
4
   char *alloc_and_copy(char *dst, char src[], unsigned int nbcells) {
5
6
      unsigned char size;
7
      size = nbcells;
8
      dst = (int *) malloc(size);
      strcpy(dst, src); // copy src into dst
9
10
      return dst;
   }
11
12
13
   int main() {
      char t1[256];
14
      char *t2;
15
      scanf("%s", t1); // read the content of t1 from the keyboard
16
      t2=alloc_and_copy(t2, t1, 256);
17
      sprintf("%s", t2); // print the content of t2 on the screen
18
19
      free (t2);
20
      return 0;
21
   }
```

- Q1. Find the vulnerabilities in this code (you should find at least 3 vulnerabilities, may be more!)
- **Q2.** For each of them explain why it is a vulnerability, and if (and how) this vulnerability could be exploited by a malicious user (indicating which gain this attacker would get).
- **Q3.** Update this code to make it secure (while preserving the same "nominal behavior").
- **Q4.** Would each of these vulnerabilities occur in a "secure" programming language like Rust <sup>1</sup>? Explain why, or why not ...

(to be continued on the next page)

<sup>1.</sup> or Java or Ada, etc.

## Exercise 2. ( $\sim 5$ pts)

According to the CERT, in a C program, "a simple yet effective way to eliminate dangling pointers and avoid many memory-related vulnerabilities is to set pointers to NULL after they are freed" (rule MEM01-C). Explain, by giving a suitable example for each cases,

- 1. why this recommandation may help to make **some** use-after-free **non exploitable**, preventing an attacker to break confidentiality or integrity properties;
- 2. why it is not a **sufficient condition** in general (some exploitable use-after-free may still occur).

## Exercise 3. ( $\sim 5 \text{ pts}$ )

The following C code initializes a **secret** key and prints later on a **public** directory name. Running these code (several times) an observer is able to get some information on the key.

```
#include <stdio.h>
   #include <string.h>
3
4
   void foo (char k[], int size) {
5
6
     for (i=0; i < size; i++)
7
          k[i] = k[i] + 1;
   }
8
9
   void main() {
10
     char key [1024]; // secret key
11
     int size; // secret key size
12
     char buff [1024];
13
     char dir [1024]; // public dirname
14
15
     init(&key, &size); // initialize the secret key and its size
16
     strncpy(buff, key, size); // copy size bytes of key into buff
17
18
     \mathbf{if} (buff[0]== 0xFF) {
          strcpy(dir, "./tmp");
19
20
     } else {
21
         foo(key, size);
         strcpy(dir, ".");
22
23
24
      printf("%s\n", dir);
25
   }
```

## Indicate:

- 1. which are the instructions leaking some secret informations on the key?
- 2. which precise information on the key can be actually retrieved?