

# The Coq proof assistant : principles and practice

J.-F. Monin

Université Grenoble Alpes

2016

Lecture 8

Structural  
induction

Induction on a  
inductive predicate

Well-founded  
induction

Structural induction

Induction on a inductive predicate

Well-founded induction

Structural  
induction

Induction on a  
inductive predicate

Well-founded  
induction

Structural induction

Induction on a inductive predicate

Well-founded induction

## A very natural generalisation of induction

### On lists

$$\frac{P \text{ nil} \quad \forall n \forall l, P l \Rightarrow P (n :: l)}{\forall l, P l}$$

Examples: stuttering list, associativity of append, reverse

### On binary trees

$$\frac{P \text{ leaf} \quad \forall n \forall t_l t_r, P t_l \Rightarrow P t_r \Rightarrow P (\text{Node } t_l n t_r)}{\forall t, P t}$$

Examples: number of keys and of leaves, algorithms on binary search trees

Structural  
induction

Induction on a  
inductive predicate

Well-founded  
induction

Structural induction

Induction on a inductive predicate

Well-founded induction

```
Inductive even : nat -> Prop :=  
  | E0 : even 0  
  | E2: forall n:nat, even n -> even (S (S n)).
```

We expect the following induction principle:

$$\frac{P\ 0 \quad \forall n, \text{even } n \Rightarrow P\ n \Rightarrow P\ (S\ (S\ n))}{\forall n, \text{even } n \Rightarrow P\ n}$$

# Lists of consecutive even numbers

Coq

J.-F. Monin

Inductive natlist: Set :=

| E : natlist

| C : nat -> natlist -> natlist.

$$\frac{P E \quad \forall n \forall l, P l \Rightarrow P (C n l)}{\forall l, P l}$$

Inductive evl : nat -> Set :=

| E0 : evl 0

| E2: forall n:nat, evl n -> evl (S (S n)).

$$\frac{P E0 \quad \forall n \forall l, P l \Rightarrow P (E2 n l)}{\forall l, P l}$$

$$\frac{P 0 E0 \quad \forall n \forall l, P n l \Rightarrow P (S (S n)) (E2 n l)}{\forall n l, P n l}$$

Structural  
inductionInduction on a  
inductive predicateWell-founded  
induction

# Lists of consecutive even numbers (cont'd)

Coq

J.-F. Monin

Inductive evl : nat -> Set :=

| E0 : evl 0

| E2: forall n:nat, evl n -> evl (S (S n)).

$$\frac{P\ 0\ E0 \quad \forall n \forall l, P\ n\ l \Rightarrow P\ (S\ (S\ n))\ (E2\ n\ l)}{\forall n l, P\ n\ l}$$

Take for P a predicate which does not depend on its second argument:  $P\ n\ l \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Q\ n$

$$\frac{Q\ 0 \quad \forall n \forall (l : evl\ n), Q\ n \Rightarrow Q\ (S\ (S\ n))}{\forall n (l : evl\ n), Q\ n}$$

$$\frac{Q\ 0 \quad \forall n, evl\ n \Rightarrow Q\ n \Rightarrow Q\ (S\ (S\ n))}{\forall n, evl\ n \Rightarrow Q\ n}$$

Now, evl reads just *even*

Structural  
inductionInduction on a  
inductive predicateWell-founded  
induction



# Functional interpretation

Coq

J.-F. Monin

```
Inductive list : Set :=  
  | E : list  
  | C : nat -> list -> list.
```

$$\frac{P E \quad \forall n \forall l, P l \Rightarrow P(C n l)}{\forall l, P l}$$

Lists of **consecutive even numbers**

typed according to the **value of the expected next head**

```
Inductive evl : nat -> Set :=  
  | E0 : evl 0  
  | E2: forall n:nat, evl n -> evl (S (S n)).
```

$$\frac{P E0 \quad \forall n \forall l, P l \Rightarrow P(E2 n l)}{\forall l, P l}$$

$$\frac{P0 E0 \quad \forall n \forall l, P n l \Rightarrow P(S(S n))(E2 n l)}{\forall n l, P n l}$$

Structural  
inductionInduction on a  
inductive predicateWell-founded  
induction

```
Fixpoint evenb (n:nat) : bool :=
  match n with
  | 0      => true
  | S 0    => false
  | S (S n') => evenb n'
  end.
```

```
Inductive even : nat -> Prop :=
  | E0 : even 0
  | E2 :  $\forall n, \text{even } n \rightarrow \text{even } (S (S n)).$ 
```

Theorem even\_evenb :  $\forall n, \text{even } n \rightarrow \text{evenb } n = \text{true}.$

By induction on the structure of the proof of even n

Theorem evenb\_even :  $\forall n, \text{evenb } n = \text{true} \rightarrow \text{even } n.$

By induction on n

Structural  
induction

Induction on a  
inductive predicate

Well-founded  
induction

**Theorem** `even_evenb` :

$\forall n, \text{even } n \rightarrow \text{evenb } n = \text{true}.$

By induction on the **structure of the proof** of `even n`

Don't have to bother about odd numbers

**Theorem** `evenb_even` :

$\forall n, \text{evenb } n = \text{true} \rightarrow \text{even } n.$

By induction on `n`: need for strengthening and discrimination.

## Inversion

Issue: getting the possible ways of constructing a hypothesis

Easier for `evenb` than for `even`, see `even_inversion.v`

This issue cannot be avoided for non-deterministic relations

Structural  
induction

Induction on a  
inductive predicate

Well-founded  
induction

Structural induction

Induction on a inductive predicate

Well-founded induction

# Stronger induction principles

Coq

J.-F. Monin

Structural  
induction

Induction on a  
inductive predicate

Well-founded  
induction

$$\frac{P\ 0 \quad P\ 1 \quad \forall n, P\ n \wedge P\ (S\ n) \Rightarrow P\ (S\ (S\ n))}{\forall n, P\ n}$$

$$\frac{P\ 0 \quad \forall n, (\forall m, m \leq n \Rightarrow P\ m) \Rightarrow P\ (S\ n)}{\forall n, P\ n}$$

By (basic) induction on  $Q\ n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \forall m, m \leq n \Rightarrow P\ m$

Rephrasing

$$\frac{\forall n, (\forall m, m < n \Rightarrow P\ m) \Rightarrow P\ n}{\forall n, P\ n}$$

Well-founded induction on  $(nat, <)$

Material:

- ▶  $S$ : a set, called the domain of the induction
- ▶  $R$ : a relation on  $S$
- ▶  $R$  is **well-founded** (see below)

Then we have the following induction principle:

$$\frac{\forall x, (\forall y, R y x \Rightarrow P y) \Rightarrow P x}{\forall x, P x}$$

Two definitions on *well-founded* (equivalent in classical logic)

- ▶ any decreasing chain eventually stops
- ▶ all elements of  $S$  are **accessible**

An element is **accessible** def all its predecessors are accessible

Structural  
induction

Induction on a  
inductive predicate

Well-founded  
induction

- ▶  $R$  is **well-founded** if  
all elements of  $S$  are **accessible** for  $R$

```
Variable A : Type.
```

```
Variable R : A -> A -> Prop.
```

```
Inductive Acc (x: A) : Prop :=
```

```
  Acc_intro : (∀ y:A, R y x -> Acc y) -> Acc x.
```

## Theorem of chocolate tablets

### Statement

Let us take a tablet containing  $n$  tiles  
and cut it into pieces along grooves

How many shots are needed for reducing the tablet into tiles?

### Answer

$$n - 1$$

It does not depend on successive choices of grooves!

### Proof

By well-founded induction on  $(\text{nat}, <)$

Structural  
induction

Induction on a  
inductive predicate

Well-founded  
induction



Structural  
induction

Induction on a  
inductive predicate

Well-founded  
induction

E.g. the lexicographic ordering of two well-founded relations is well-founded.